

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

TENG VANG,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM POLLARD, Warden,
Green Bay Correctional Institution,

Respondent.

ORDER

10-cv-306-bbc

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244 was dismissed on July 28, 2010 because petitioner failed to show that he was in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States. I denied his motion for reconsideration on September 1, 2010.

Now petitioner has filed a notice of appeal and a request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. Petitioner's appeal is not subject to the 1996 Prison Litigation Reform Act. Walker v. O'Brien, 216 F.3d 626, 628-629 (7th Cir. 2000) ("the PLRA does not apply to any requests for collateral relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241, 2254, or 2255"). Nevertheless, in determining whether a petitioner is eligible for indigent status on appeal under

Copy of this document has been
provided to: Ken Fisher

this 28 day of SEP, 2010
by S. Vogel

S. Vogel, Secretary to
Judge Barbara B. Crabb

28 U.S.C. § 1915, the court must find both that the petitioner does not have the means to pay the \$455 fee for filing his appeal and that the appeal is taken in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) and (3). I do not intend to certify that petitioner's appeal is not taken in good faith.

In determining whether a habeas corpus petitioner is eligible for pauper status, the court applies the formula set out in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Specifically, from the petitioner's trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his appeal, I add the deposits made to petitioner's account and calculate 20% of the greater of the average monthly deposits or the average monthly balance in the account. If the 20% figure is more than the fee petitioner owes for filing his appeal, he may not proceed in forma pauperis. If the 20% figure is less than \$455, he must prepay whatever portion of the fee the calculation yields.

I cannot tell whether petitioner qualifies for indigent status on appeal because he has not submitted a trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his notice of appeal. Therefore, I will stay a decision on petitioner's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis pending his submission of the necessary trust fund account statement.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that a decision whether petitioner may proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is STAYED. Petitioner may have until October 19, 2010, in which to submit a trust fund account statement for the six-month period beginning approximately March 27, 2007 and ending approximately September 27, 2010. If, by October 19, 2010, petitioner fails to submit the necessary trust fund account statement, I will deny his request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal for his failure to show that he is entitled to indigent status on appeal.

Entered this 28th day of September, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge